Western Regional Modeling and Analysis Platform 2014v2 Model Performance Evaluation

Ralph Morris, Pradeepa, Vennam, Marco Rodriguez, Jung Chien, Jeremiah Johnson and Tejas Shah

WRAP RTOWG Webinar

January 22, 2020





WRAP 2014v1 Shake-Out Model Performance Evaluation (MPE)

- CAMx & CMAQ 2014v1 36/12-km Modeling Platform and MPE
 - http://views.cira.colostate.edu/iwdw/docs/waqs_2014v1_shakeout_study.aspx
- Several MPE issues were identified in 2014v1 MPE:
 - EPA's 2014 GEOS-Chem simulation overestimated ozone year-round
 - Conducted revised 2014 GEOS-Chem simulation that corrected problem
 - $_{\odot}$ Coastal SO4 overestimation in CAMx
 - Conducted sensitivity tests (e.g., DMS chemistry) but was unable to correct issue
 - $_{\odot}$ NO3 underestimation in western U.S.
 - Tested and adopted ammonia bi-directional dry deposition module
 - $_{\odot}\,$ BEIS vs MEGAN biogenic emissions
 - Evaluated new MEGAN v3.1 that performed better than previous version of MEGAN, but retained BEIS for 12WUS2 domain due to mostly better PM2.5 OA performance



2014v1 vs. 2014v2 Final Model Configuration (Major Changes in Red)

| Option | САМх | | CMAQ (Postponed) | |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2014v1 | 2014v2 | 2014v1 | 2014v2 |
| Model | CAMx v6.5 | CAMx v7.0 | CMAQ v5.2.1 | CMAQ V5.3 |
| Nesting | One-Way | Two-Way | One-Way | One-Way |
| # Levels | 25 | 25 | 25 | 35 |
| BCs | EPA GC | WRAP GC | EPA GC | WRAP GC |
| Anthro. | 2014v1 | 2014v2 | 2014v1 | 2014v2 |
| Biogenic 12WUS2 | BEIS | BEIS | BEIS | BEIS |
| Fires | Bluesky | WRAP | Bluesky | Bluesky |
| NH3 BiDi | No | Yes | No | No |
| Elements | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| SOAALK | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| | | | | |

CAMx 2014v2 Base Case / Model Performance Evaluation (MPE)

- CAMx v7.0 & 2014 Annual Period
- 36US1/12WUS2 Two-Way Grid Nesting
- Evaluate CAMx performance generally using same procedures as in EPA's Sep 19, 2019 national regional haze modeling that used CAMx v7.0 and 2016beta' platform
- CMAQ 2014v2 modeling on hold:
 - $\circ~$ New CMAQ v5.3 (Aug 2019) & MCIP v5
 - Needs complete re-processing of WRF met data
 - No longer supports layer collapsing, so run times ~ 2x CAMx
 - Doesn't support two-way nesting
 - Reported issues with source apportionment
 - $\circ~$ New version of model needs more tests
 - CMAQ v5.3.1 released Dec 2019 w/ bug fixes



CAMX 2014v2 MPE for 12WUS2 Domain and 7 Climate Regions



- Climate Regions used by EPA to Evaluate CAMx 2016 beta'
- For CAMx 2014v2 evaluation have whole climate regions:
 - \circ West
 - \circ Northwest
 - \circ Southwest
 - NRockiesPlains
- And partial climate regions:
 - \circ South



Primary Model Performance Statistical Metrics used by EPA

 Mean Bias (MB) average difference between Predictions and Observations (ug/m3)

$$MB \ (\mu g \ m^{-3}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (P_i - O_i)$$

• Normalized Mean Bias (**NMB**) avg difference between Pred and Obs normalized by avg Obs

$$NMB(\%) = \frac{\sum_{1}^{n} (P - O)}{\sum_{1}^{n} (O)} * 100$$

Compare with EPA 2016beta' MPE and use Performance Goals and Criteria to help put CAMx 2014v2 MPE in context (Emery et al., 2016, JAWMA)

RAMBOLL



$$ME (\mu g \ m^{-3}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |P_i - O_i|$$

 Normalized Mean Error (NME) avg absolute difference between Pred and Obs normalized by avg Obs

NME (%) =
$$\frac{\sum_{1}^{n} |P - O|}{\sum_{1}^{n} (O)} * 100$$

• Person's Correlation Coefficient (r)

$$r = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (P_i - \bar{P}) (O_i - \bar{O})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (P_i - \bar{P})^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (O_i - \bar{O})^2}}$$

SO4 NMB Winter -- Spatial Maps of MPE Statistics for WUSA

Goal

Criteria $\leq \pm 30\%$

WAQS CAMx 2014v2









SO4 NMB Summer -- Spatial Maps of MPE Statistics for WUSA

WAQS CAMx 2014v2

EPA CAMx 2016beta'



SO4 NMB Kellygrams -- IMPROVE Regional Model Performance

- Note different AMET auto-scale
- Northwest SO4 overestimation both base cases, worse with 2016beta'
- 2014v2 better SO4 MPE West, Southwest and NRockiesPlains
- 2014v2 larger underestimation than 2016beta' in South



2014v2 SO4 Scatter

- Winter, Spring, Summer, Fall
- NMB = 17%, -16%, -23%, -7%
 - Goal $\leq \pm 10\%$; Criteria $\leq \pm 30\%$
- Coastal SO4 overestimation in summer
- Northwest SO4 overestimation

RAMBOLL

• SO4 underestimation in South, especially in Spring and Summer



SO4 Time Series – MORA-Northeast & PORE-West



WRAP 2014v2b SO4 for IMPROVE Site: MORA1 in WA

SO4 Time Series – CANY & BALD-Southwest

WRAP 2014v2b SO4 for IMPROVE Site: CANY1 in UT



SO4 Time Series – BRID & THRO-NRockiesPlains

WRAP 2014v2b SO4 for IMPROVE Site: BRID1 in WY



NO3 NMB Winter -- Spatial Maps of MPE Statistics for WUSA



NO3 NMB Summer -- Spatial Maps of MPE Statistics for WUSA



NO3 NMB Kellygrams -- IMPROVE Regional Model Performance

- 2014v2 higher NO3 than 2016beta'
 - \circ 2014v2 run with bi-di ammonia and drydep with lower surfaced resistance (RSCALE = 1)
- 2014v2 Northwest All Year and Fall Most Regions NO3 overestimation bias
- 2014v2 better winter NO3 performance



NO3 IMPROVE WUSA

- Winter, Spring, Summer, Fall
- NMB = 8%, 0%, 4%, 39%
 - Goal $\leq \pm 15\%$; Criteria $\leq \pm 65\%$
- Fall and Summer NO3 overestimation

RAMBOLL







NO3 Time Series – Northwest: MORA & CRLA

WRAP 2014v2b NO3 for IMPROVE Site: MORA1 in WA



WRAP 2014v2b NO3 for IMPROVE Site: CRLA1 in OR



NO3 Time Series – West: JOSH & JARB

WRAP 2014v2b NO3 for IMPROVE Site: JOSH1 in CA



WRAP 2014v2b NO3 for IMPROVE Site: JARB1 in NV

NO3 Time Series – Southwest: CANY & BALD

NO3 Time Series – NRockiesPlains: THRO & BRID

WRAP 2014v2b NO3 for IMPROVE Site: THRO1 in ND

OA NMB Winter -- Spatial Maps of MPE Statistics for WUSA

OA NMB Summer -- Spatial Maps of MPE Statistics for WUSA

OC NMB (%) for run CAMx 2016fg_camx7b2_dms_1€

CIRCLE=IMPROVE; TRIAN

OA NMB Kellygrams -- IMPROVE Regional Model Performance

 $\begin{array}{ll} \underline{OA \ NMB \ MPE} \\ \hline Goal & \leq \pm 15\% \\ \hline Criteria & \leq \pm 50\% \end{array}$

OA Time Series – Northwest: MORA & CRLA

WRAP 2014v2b OC for IMPROVE Site: MORA1 in WA

Jan 02 Jan 23 Feb 13 Mar 06 Mar 27 Apr 17 May 08 May 29 Jun 19 Jul 07 Jul 25 Aug 15 Sep 05 Sep 26 Oct 17 Nov 07 Nov 28 Dec 19

OA Time Series – JOSH&JARB, CANY&BALD, THRO&BRID

Jan 02 Jan 23 Feb 13 Mar 06 Mar 27 Apr 17 May 08 May 29 Jun 19 Jul 07 Jul 25 Aug 12 Sep 02 Sep 23 Oct 14 Nov 07 Nov 28 Dec 19

Jan 08 Jan 26 Feb 13 Mar 06 Mar 27 Apr 14 May 05 May 26 Jun 16 Jul 04 Jul 22 Aug 09 Aug 30 Sep 20 Oct 11 Oct 29 Nov 16 Dec 07

EC NMB Winter -- Spatial Maps of MPE Statistics for WUSA

Criteria $\leq \pm 40\%$

EC NMB Summer -- Spatial Maps of MPE Statistics for WUSA

CIRCLE=IMPROVE; TRIAN

EC NMB MPE ≤±20% Goal Criteria $\leq \pm 40\%$

EC NMB Kellygrams -- IMPROVE Regional Model Performance

29

Soil NMB Kellygrams -- IMPROVE Regional Model Performance

30

Next Steps: CAMx 2014v2 Base Case MPE

- Evaluate for IMPROVE 2014 Most Impaired Days (MID) and Clearest Days (B20%)
 - Recent update to IMPROVE data included filling/patching
 - Changed the MID for some sites and years
 - IMPROVE data in AMET is older IMPROVE data
 - \circ Hold off on 2014v2 MPE for MID until get new updated IMPROVE

Next Steps: CAMx 2014v2 Base Case MPE

- Develop CAMx 2014v2 MPE Webpage and post on IWDW
 - Similar to WRAP 2014v1 Shake-Out Study Webpage
 - Describe 2014v2 modeling:
 - Sensitivity tests to segue from 2014v1 to 2014v2
 - CAMx 2014v2 final configuration
 - CAMx 2014v2 MPE approach
 - CAMx 2014v2 MPE products:
 - Example displays
 - Image Browser TimeSeries, ScatterPlots, SpatialStats, Kellygrams (static .png files)
 - Model-to-Obs Tool User can make own Pred-Obs time series plots with statistics
 - MID/B20% MPE products

Current Status – Phase III 2014 Modeling

- CAMx Representative Baseline (RepBase) 2014 Met 36/12-km Simulation Completed
 - Emissions representative of 2014-2018 baseline period
 - Biggest difference are for fires: RepBase from FSWG vs. 2014v2 Actual (Bluesky)
- Finished design of RepBase Source Apportionment Simulation (Task 1.8)
 - Review Run Specification Sheet for RepBase SA Modeling
- Details on design for Task 1.7 Natural (NAT) and No International Anthro (ZROW, Zero-Out Rest of World) simulations
 - 2014 GEOS-Chem NAT and ZROW simulations completed
 - $_{\odot}~$ Still some outstanding issues in design of CAMx NAT and ZROW runs:
 - Use RepBase Emissions
 - Assume emissions from CMV C3 Ocean Going Vessels (OGVs) within Emissions Control Area (ECA; i.e., within 200 nautical miles of coastline) are U.S. Anthropogenic emissions so are retained in CAMx ZROW run
 - How to treat NO emissions from anthropogenic sources (i.e., atmospheric deposition and fertilizer application) from BEIS biogenic soil NOx emissions in CAMx NAT run?

RepBase – 2014v2 Differences: August Average Concentrations

Average Aug O3 WRAP 12km RepBase

Average Aug POA WRAP 12km 2014v2b

Average Aug O3 WRAP 12km 2014v2b

ppb

Average Aug POA WRAP 12km RepBase M 2014v2b

WRAP 12km RepBase M 2014v2b

 \bigcirc max(52,17) = 20.4 ppb \bigcirc min(14,129) = -12.4 ppb 34

(65,85) = 56.0 ppb(1.1) = 0.0 ppb

ppb

Next Steps: WRAP 2014 Phase IV

- 2002 Dynamic Evaluation -- Ongoing
- 2028 Emissions Modeling -- Ongoing
- 2028 CAMx Modeling Not started
- 2028 Visibility Projections Not Started
- 2028 Source Apportionment Not Started
- IWDW/PGM Verification About to Start
- AOI/WEP Analysis On hold while resolve issues with updated IMPROVE data

