

## Sample Panel Questions:

Q. What differences do you see in attempting Reasonable Progress (4-factor) analysis today from the last RHR round?

Q. RP (4-factor) analysis can get quite resource intensive and even overwhelming. How do you see yourself balancing efforts?

Q. For the Eastern members... how has the Transport Rule benefited/hindered your efforts to produce Regional Haze rule progress?

Q. For the Western member... do you see extending the Transport Rule to the West as beneficial for making RP?

Q. Knowing that EPA draft guidance does not require cumulative modeling, how important is modeling analysis to you and do you plan to produce it?

Q. What role, if any, do you still see for individual source visibility benefit analysis (old 5th factor)?

Q. Draft guidance recommends that States review most of their visibility contributing sources for 4-factor review. How do you plan to select/screen sources for further 4-factor evaluation?

Q. Have you considered if/or when you would perform categorical 4-factor analysis versus individual evaluation?

Q. What do you think RPG projections represent? (i.e.. emission controls you know are achievable or control levels set as goals)

Q. Should States that meet the updated glideslope perform 4-factor evaluations and look for additional reasonable controls? (i.e.. off-ramp argument)

Q. Do you have the authority to implement additional categorical or individual source controls given current Regional Haze Rule language?

Q. Do you see yourself implementing controls beyond on-the-books/on-the-way levels? (i.e.. Regional Haze specific controls)